#### Situvis

#### A Visual Tool for Modeling a User's Behavior Patterns in a Pervasive Environment

Adrian K. Clear et al. Pervasive and Mobile Computing journal 2010

## **Overview**

- Situation, Goal & Approaches
- Situvis
  - Sample Data collection
  - Visualization of Context Data
  - Evaluating Situations
  - User Study
- Future Work
- Feedback and Reviews
- Discussion

#### **Situation & Goal**

- Support user's goal by making adaptions to their behaviors
- Accuracy and utility of adaptions are predicated on system's ability to capture and recognize the circumstances
- System designer has to characterize adaption opportunities
  - Voluminous, highly multivariate, constantly updated context data
  - Multiple heterogeneous sensors
- → Want to recognize high-level "Situations" out of low-level data

# **Usual Approaches**

- Manual specification
  - To complex
- Machine learning-based approaches
  - Extensive amount of training data required
  - Many situations are subjective and personalized
- Hybrid approach by Situvis
  - Minimal training data to frame situation specification
  - Relevant visualizations to simplify manual process of fine-tuning

# Situvis

- Interactive visualization tool
  - Visually represents conditions for situation triggering
  - Can visually inspect properties, evaluate and change them
  - Data on high level instead of complex, raw sensor values
- Time-Series Visualization (new version)
- Parallel Coordinates visualization
- Situation specification:

A situation specification consists of one or more assertions about context that are conjoined using the logical operators and ( $\land$ ), or ( $\lor$ ), and not ( $\neg$ ). Assertions may comprise further domain-specific expressions on context, given that the required semantics are available.

# **Data gathering**

- Context data and situation over 4 days
- Captured Context:
  - Computer activity, calendar entries, instant messenger status, number of colleagues in vicinity, physical activity, noise level, selected profile on mobile phone, location
  - Nokia N95 sensing platform with Bluetooth scan (colleagues), acceleration (activity), microphone (noise level) and phone profile
  - Location with Ubisense (Ultra-wideband location system) and two extra Bluetooth beacons. High-level achieved by
  - Annotations of situations with pen & paper by participant

#### **Time-Series Visualization**



- Annotations
- Classifications
- Brushing

# **Parallel Coordinates View**



- Axes are attributes
- N-dimensional tuples as data
- Edit and Analysis mode
- Situations panel (not shown here)









Time Location Colleagues present Noise level Computer activity Supervisor present Calendar status Phone

#### Experiments

- User study
  - 10 participants (9 male, 1 female)
  - Situvis vs. Excel (improvised alternative)
  - Measuring time and accuracy for given tasks
    - 4 analysis tasks
    - 2 situation specifications
    - 2 evaluations in relation to the data tasks
    - 2 evaluations to other specification tasks
  - Measure of efficiency and effectiveness

# **Results 1**

- Analysis task
  - Ø 72s (Situvis) vs. 145s (Excel) per task
  - Situvis (100% acc.): TS view & brushing for filtering, reordered axes
  - Excel (93% acc.): lots of scrolling, column sorting, sequential scanning
- Situation specification task
  - Accuracy = percentage of annotated traces that specification classifies
  - False positives = percentages of unrelated situations covered
  - Ø 196s vs. 482s in total (Situvis 60% faster)
  - Accuracy for both ~60%, false positives 22% vs. 33%
- Both significant on 5% level in speed

#### **Results 2**

- Evaluating specifications in relation to the data
  - Ø 164s (Situvis) vs. 459s (Excel) per task (64% less time with Situvis)
  - Situvis (100% acc.): TS view to select traces, overlay with specification in PC view
  - Excel (68% acc.): Scrolling to find annotated traces, analyze if satisfied constraints
  - Both in time and accuracy reaching 1% significance level
- Evaluation specifications in relation to other specifications
  - Ø 99s (Situvis) vs. 179s (Excel) per task (45% less time with Situvis)
  - Situvis (77% acc.): overlay relevant specifications in PC view, identify regions semi-opaque areas didn't or did overlap
  - Excel (93% acc.): analyze constraints, identify areas where constraints distinct, partially of completely overlapped
  - Time significantly better with Situvis
  - Situvis 18% less accurate but not significantly worse

#### **Problems & Future Work**

- Axes of high dimensional data don't fit on a normal screen
- Number of values for an attribute could be very high
- Situvis' situation semantics are naive no temporal logic
- Robust probabilistic inference to handle naturally fuzzy data
- Represent all sort of context properties (e.g. 2+-dimensional data) on one single vertical line

#### **Feedback and Reviews**

- Review score Ø 1.2 (median 1.5, 12 reviews)
  - (Weak) accept
- Contributions:
  - A new visualization tool to represent the conditions that trigger a situation
  - Minimize annotated samples to frame situation specification by hybrid approach including short ground truth collection period followed by manual fine-tuning by a domain expert
  - Alternative to machine learning approach
- Future work, negative points:
  - Test on existing large data sets with information of several months and especially multiple users
  - Integration in existing data collection systems
  - How does it apply to the development of context aware applications?
  - How to handle changes in behavior?
  - How to detect the cause of a (possibly wrong) routine detection?

# Discussion

- What do you think?
  - ... about the user study?
  - ... is the journal paper a better work?
- What could be improved?
- What wasn't clear?

#### Some reviews

- "Using Parallel Coordinate Visualizations (PCVs) to show a big amount of data on two dimensions is a original idea, nevertheless I'm sure that the authors are not the first one doing this"
  - Indeed: "Parallel coordinates were invented by Maurice d'Ocagne in 1885, and were independently re-discovered and popularised by Al Inselberg in 1959 and systematically developed as a coordinate system starting from 1977." [1]
- "Originality doesn't come from Parallel Coordinate Visualizations, but from implications regarding developer's identification of situations."

[1]: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Parallel\_coordinates